Mediation Log SD Department of Education Special Education Programs 07-01-09 to 06-30-2010 FY 09

DIOTRICT			Formal Mediation – Part of Due Process	Formal Mediation – Part of	Formal	Mediation
DISTRICT	Date	ISSUE(s) Parent requested job	Hearing	Complaint	Mediation	Agreement
Wilmot	09/04/2000	placement and using	No	No	Yes	Successful
VVIIITIOL	06/04/2009	ESY issue for student	INO	INO	162	Successiui
Sioux Falls	05/19/2010		No	No	Yes	Successful
		School requested mediation: issue being evaluation/placement at				Successful-
Tripp-Delmont	5/14/2010	outside agency	No	No	Yes	5/20/10
Wolsey-		IEP not being followed,				Yes, Successful
Wessington	10/4/09	IEP team not complete	No	Yes	Yes	held on 11.3.09

	The complainant alleges the district: 1.did not fulfill child find obligation, 2. did not follow initial evaluation				Yes, 2/26/10, Successful
	procedures, 3.did not fulfill determination of eligibility, and; 4. did not fulfill duties of a district after informal review				
2/15/10		No	Yes	Yes	
4/22/10	The complaintant alleges the district: refused to provide FAPE and violated child find	No	Vec	Vac	Successful, held
4/23/10	obligation in the district.	INO .	Yes	Yes	6/23/10
09/08/2009	1.Free Appropriate Public Education, 2. Failed to provide services and meet educational needs in IEP, 3. Identification, evalution or placement of student.	Yes	No	Yes	Successful, held October 6 and 13, 2009
05/14/2010	pool vs. land therapy		No	Yes	Successful, held May 25, 2010
	4/23/10 09/08/2009	the district: 1.did not fulfill child find obligation, 2. did not follow initial evaluation procedures, 3.did not fulfill determination of eligibility, and; 4. did not fulfill duties of a district after informal review. The complaintant alleges the district: refused to provide FAPE and violated child find obligation in the district. 1.Free Appropriate Public Education, 2. Failed to provide services and meet educational needs in IEP, 3. Identification, evalution or placement of student.	fulfill child find obligation, 2. did not follow initial evaluation procedures, 3.did not fulfill determination of eligibility, and; 4. did not fulfill duties of a district after informal review. No The complaintant alleges the district: refused to provide FAPE and violated child find 4/23/10 obligation in the district. No 1.Free Appropriate Public Education, 2. Failed to provide services and meet educational needs in IEP, 3. Identification, evalution 09/08/2009 or placement of student. Yes 1.Physical therapy services- pool vs. land therapy	the district: 1.did not fulfill child find obligation, 2. did not follow initial evaluation procedures, 3.did not fulfill determination of eligibility, and; 4. did not fulfill duties of a district after informal review. No The complaintant alleges the district: refused to provide FAPE and violated child find 4/23/10 obligation in the district. No 1.Free Appropriate Public Education, 2. Failed to provide services and meet educational needs in IEP, 3. Identification, evalution 09/08/2009 or placement of student. Yes No 1.Physical therapy services pool vs. land therapy	the district: 1.did not fulfill child find obligation, 2. did not follow initial evaluation procedures, 3.did not fulfill determination of eligibility, and; 4. did not fulfill duties of a district after informal review. No Yes Yes The complaintant alleges the district: refused to provide FAPE and violated child find 4/23/10 obligation in the district. No 1.Free Appropriate Public Education, 2. Failed to provide services and meet educational needs in IEP, 3. Identification, evalution 09/08/2009 or placement of student. Yes No Yes No Yes